

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

)			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,969	12/03/2001	Stephen Bresina	2678	7840
530 7	04/16/2003			
	AVID, LITTENBERG,	EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3732	7	
•			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			&
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
ا و در،		10/004,969	BRESINA, STEPHEN
•••	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Pedro Philogene	3732
Peri d fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	pp ars on the cover sheet with	the correspond nce address
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuably received by the Office later than three months after the mail dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH. Ite. cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	<u> December 2001</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	his action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims	wance except for formal matte or <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application	on Papers		
9)∏ Т	he specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	• •	
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 🛚	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
•	 Certified copies of the priority documer 	its have been received.	
2	Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received in Appl	ication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prication from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ŭ
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
a)	The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has been	received.
Attachment(, ,	•••
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.	- · - · ·	action Summary	Part of Paper No. 07

'Application/Control Number: 10/004,969

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biscup (6,245,108) in view of Middleton (6,136,031).

With respect to claim 1, Biscup discloses an apparatus for facilitating fusion of adjacent vertebrae, comprising an implant body (10) dimensioned for positioning within an intervertebral space between upper and lowervertebrae to maintain the vertebrae in desired spaced relation to facilitate fusion thereof, the inplant body including lower and upper surfaces (20,30) for engaging the respective lower and upper vertebrae (FIG.5), and first and second side wall portions (60,70) extending between the upper and lower surfaces, the first and second side walls being substantially solid; as best seen in FIG.1.

Although Biscup teaches of openings in the sidewalls, it is noted that Biscup did not teach of narrow longitudinal slits in the sidewalls; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Middleton evidences the use of narrow longitudinal slits in the sidewalls of an implant body to facilitate the transfer of the force exerted on the implant.

Therefore, given the teaching of Middleton, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the

'Application/Control Number: 10/004,969

Art Unit: 3732

openings of Biscup with the narrow longitudinal slits of Middleton to facilitate the transfer of the force exerted on the implant.

With respect to claims 2-13, it is noted that the above combination of references teaches all the limitations, as set forth in columns 8-9, lines1-67, and as best seen in FIGS.1-6. In addition, as to the plurality of the internal bores, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the bores, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

With respect to claims 14-17, the method steps, as set forth, would have been obviously carried out in the operation of the device, as set forth above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6,039,762

3-2000

McKay

McKay teaches a bone graft having a cylindrical shape.

6,325,827

12-2001

Lin

6,315,797

11-2001

Middleton

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 305-3591 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Pedro Philogene April 9, 2003

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER